


WILEY

Online Proofing System Instructions

The Wiley Online Proofing System allows authors and proof reviewers to review PDF proofs, mark corrections, respond to queries, upload replacement figures, and submit these changes directly from the PDF proof from the locally saved file or while viewing it in your web browser.

1. For the best experience reviewing your proof in the Wiley Online Proofing System please ensure you are connected to the internet. This will allow the PDF proof to connect to the central Wiley Online Proofing System server. If you are connected to the Wiley Online Proofing System server you should see the icon with a green check mark above in the yellow banner.
2. Please review the article proof on the following pages and mark any corrections, changes, and query responses using the Annotation Tools outlined on the next 2 pages.




Connected



Disconnected

3. To save your proof corrections, click the “Publish Comments” button appearing above in the yellow banner. Publishing your comments saves your corrections to the Wiley Online Proofing System server. Corrections don’t have to be marked in one sitting, you can publish corrections and log back in at a later time to add more before you click the “Complete Proof Review” button below.




4. If you need to supply additional or replacement files bigger than 5 Megabytes (MB) do not attach them directly to the PDF Proof, please click the “Upload Files” button to upload files:
5. When your proof review is complete and you are ready to submit corrections to the publisher, please click the “Complete Proof Review” button below:


IMPORTANT: Do not click the “Complete Proof Review” button without replying to all author queries found on the last page of your proof. Incomplete proof reviews will cause a delay in publication.

IMPORTANT: Once you click “Complete Proof Review” you will not be able to publish further corrections.

Once you have Acrobat Reader open on your computer, click on the [Comment](#) tab at the right of the toolbar:



This will open up a panel down the right side of the document. The majority of tools you will use for annotating your proof will be in the [Annotations](#) section, pictured opposite. We've picked out some of these tools below:



1. [Replace \(Ins\)](#) Tool – for replacing text.




Strikes a line through text and opens up a text box where replacement text can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight a word or sentence.
- Click on the [Replace \(Ins\)](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Type the replacement text into the blue box that appears.

standard framework for the analysis of microeconomic activity. Nevertheless, it also led to the development of a number of strategic approaches. The number of competitors in an industry is that the structure of the industry is a key component. The main components of an industry are the number of firms and the level, are exogenous variables. The important words on entry by firms (M henceforth) we open the 'black b



2. [Strikethrough \(Del\)](#) Tool – for deleting text.



Strikes a red line through text that is to be deleted.

How to use it

- Highlight a word or sentence.
- Click on the [Strikethrough \(Del\)](#) icon in the Annotations section.

there is no room for extra profits as mark-ups are zero and the number of firms (net) values are not determined by market structure. Blanchard ~~and Kiyotaki~~ (1987), perfect competition in general equilibrium. The effects of aggregate demand and supply shocks in a classical framework assuming monopolistic competition and an exogenous number of firms

3. [Add note to text](#) Tool – for highlighting a section to be changed to bold or italic.



Highlights text in yellow and opens up a text box where comments can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight the relevant section of text.
- Click on the [Add note to text](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Type instruction on what should be changed regarding the text into the yellow box that appears.

dynamic responses of mark-ups consistent with the VAR evidence

sation of the industry. The number of firms in an industry is a key component. The main components of an industry are the number of firms and the level, are exogenous variables. The important words on entry by firms (M henceforth) we open the 'black b



4. [Add sticky note](#) Tool – for making notes at specific points in the text.




Marks a point in the proof where a comment needs to be highlighted.

How to use it

- Click on the [Add sticky note](#) icon in the Annotations section.
- Click at the point in the proof where the comment should be inserted.
- Type the comment into the yellow box that appears.

and supply shocks. Most of the time, the number of firms in an industry is a key component. The main components of an industry are the number of firms and the level, are exogenous variables. The important words on entry by firms (M henceforth) we open the 'black b




5. **Attach File** Tool – for inserting large amounts of text or replacement figures.




Inserts an icon linking to the attached file in the appropriate place in the text.

How to use it

- Click on the **Attach File** icon in the Annotations section.
- Click on the proof to where you'd like the attached file to be linked.
- Select the file to be attached from your computer or network.
- Select the colour and type of icon that will appear in the proof. Click OK.




6. **Drawing Markups** Tools – for drawing shapes, lines and freeform annotations on proofs and commenting on these marks. Allows shapes, lines and freeform annotations to be drawn on proofs and for comment to be made on these marks.



How to use it

- Click on one of the shapes in the Drawing Markups section.
- Click on the proof at the relevant point and draw the selected shape with the cursor.
- To add a comment to the drawn shape, move the cursor over the shape until an arrowhead appears.
- Double click on the shape and type any text in the red box that appears.



1
2 **A** Original article

3 **1** **Stability of fructooligosaccharides, sugars and colour of yacon**
4 **(*Smallanthus sonchifolius*) roots during blanching and drying**

5
6
7
8 **3** David Campos,^{1*} Ana Aguilar-Galvez¹ & Romina Pedreschi^{2†}

9
10 **4** ¹ Instituto de Biotecnología (IBT), Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina - UNALM, Av. La Molina s/n, Lima, Peru
11 ² Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Escuela de Agronomía, Calle San Francisco s/n, La Palma, Chile

12
13 (Received 20 October 2015; Accepted in revised form 17 January 2016)

14
15 **Summary** Yacon (*Smallanthus sonchifolius*) root is an important source of fructooligosaccharides (FOS). This study
16 evaluated the influence of the blanching and drying processes on the sugars, FOS and colour of the
17 obtained flour. Blanching in boiling water of 5 mm slices for 6 min allowed to inactivate 95% of polyphenol
18 oxidase and peroxidase activity. Blanching solutions containing ascorbic, citric and lactic acid were
19 detrimental in terms of FOS retention (68.2–87.4%) due to hydrolysis mainly of GF3, GF4 and GF5
20 FOS, and also important losses of reducing sugars (RS) were observed (69.5–87.4% retention). Blanching
21 treatments that included ascorbic acid/CaCl₂ prevented RS and FOS losses and improved colour of the
22 obtained flour. The drying tested temperatures of 50–80 °C did not affect the RS retention and FOS
23 losses associated to hydrolysis and the use of 80 °C rapidly reduced the water content and minimised
24 browning reactions yielding flours with excellent colour characteristics with high FOS content that can be
25 derived to the elaboration of prebiotic containing functional foods or for the extraction and purification
26 of FOS.

27
28 **6** **Keywords** blanching, colour, drying, fructooligosaccharides, *Smallanthus sonchifolius*, sugars, Yacon.

29
30
31
32
33 **Introduction**

34
35 Yacon (*Smallanthus sonchifolius* Poepp. & Endl), an
36 Andean crop that grows at altitudes of 1000–3200 m
37 above sea level, is particularly known as an abundant
38 source of β-(2→1) fructooligosaccharides (FOS) (Goto
39 *et al.*, 1995). FOS are considered as prebiotics, and
40 yacon FOS prebiotic effects have been demonstrated
41 *in vitro* and *in vivo* being selectively fermented by bifi-
42 dobacteria and lactobacilli (Pedreschi *et al.*, 2003;
43 Campos *et al.*, 2012). The high concentrations of fruc-
44 tans have potential for colon cancer prevention (De
45 Moura *et al.*, 2012). FOS-rich yacon root flour could
46 be considered as a potential hypolipidemic agent with
47 a great therapeutic benefit in diabetes and other hyper-
48 lipidemic conditions through changes in lipid metabo-
49 lism (Habib *et al.*, 2011). Stimulatory effects of yacon
50 FOS on calcium intestinal absorption, bone mineral
51 retention and structural properties in the femoral mid-

shaft of Wistar rats fed *ad libitum* with diets supple-
mented with yacon flour have also been reported
(Lobo *et al.*, 2007).

Previous reported studies revealed a degradation of
FOS content in yacon roots during postharvest storage
(Asami *et al.*, 1991; Lachman *et al.*, 2004). The decrease
of FOS in yacon roots leads to large amounts of free
sugars as degradation products due to depolymerisation
(Graefe *et al.*, 2004). The breakdown of FOS is catalysed
by the enzyme fructan exohydrolase (FEH), which
liberates terminal fructose molecules (Fukai *et al.*,
1997). To avoid significant loss of prebiotic effect, yacon
roots must be processed quickly after harvest.

An alternative of yacon root use is the elaboration
of flour rich in FOS content as ingredient in the elabo-
ration of different foods (e.g. bread, pastries, yogurt,
dehydrated soups, among others) or as raw material to
obtain FOS through extraction and purification pro-
cesses. However, the high water content (80.9
to 92.5%) (Campos *et al.*, 2012), high content of phenolic
compounds and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity
present in the roots (Neves & da Silva, 2007) are
responsible of the fast browning after tissue rupture
during processing. Blanching as a pretreatment is

52
53 *Correspondent: Fax: (511) 3495764; e-mail: dcampos@lamolina.
54 edu.pe

55 †Present address: Escuela de Agronomía, Pontificia Universidad
56 Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso Chile

WILEY	Dispatch: 2.2.16	CE: Vijay T
13074	No. of pages: 9	PE: Rathi
I J F S	Journal Code	Manuscript No.
		

usually carried out to prevent off flavours and colour changes resulting from enzymatic reactions and to decrease the initial microbial load, but it could be associated to the reduction of sensory and nutrient quality in foods, mainly due to Maillard reaction and nonenzymatic browning. Previous studies have studied different blanching and drying conditions to obtain yacon flour (Padilha *et al.*, 2009; Scher *et al.*, 2009; Reis *et al.*, 2012; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2014). However, those studies have associated yacon quality loss to thermal processing and have not studied in detail, the FOS, sugars and colour stability. Diverse studies have studied the stability of commercial inulin and FOS (partially purified) in model systems, mainly buffered, simulating processing conditions (pH and temperature) of food (Blecker *et al.*, 2002; L'Homme *et al.*, 2003a; Huebner *et al.*, 2008; Courtin *et al.*, 2009; Matusek *et al.*, 2009), but few studies have evaluated the stability of the added components or FOS content in the food matrix submitted to real processing conditions (L'Homme *et al.*, 2003b; Matusek *et al.*, 2011; Vega & Zuniga-Hansen, 2015). Up to our knowledge, up to date no previous study have reported the combined effect of different blanching-drying treatments on the FOS profile and content stability of yacon. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of the different blanching – drying conditions on the FOS content and profile, reducing sugar content and colour of the obtained flour.

Materials and methods

Materials and chemicals

Catechol, 3,5 dinitrosalicylic acid, glucose, fructose, 2-mercaptoethanol, ascorbic acid, citric acid and lactic acid were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Hydrogen peroxide, sodium chloride, calcium chloride and sucrose were obtained from Merck (Germany). Guaicol was obtained from Himedia (India), polyvinylpyrrolidone from Calbiochem (Germany), HPLC grade acetonitrile and ethanol from J.T. Baker (USA) and inulinase I-2017 from Sigma-Aldrich.

Yacon roots (*Smallanthus sonchifolius* Poepp. & Endl) from the Region of Junin (Peru) were purchased from a local market. The material was transported to the laboratory, washed with 200 ppm sodium hypochlorite and then dried with towel paper. The roots were stored at 5 °C for 3 days at most until processing.

Study of the blanching methods: hot water and steam

Three independent replicates of 250 ± 25 g of yacon roots with skin were cut in slices of 5, 10 and 20 mm

thickness and 4.5 ± 0.5 cm diameter. Blanching in distilled boiling water (95 ± 3 °C) with a sample:water ratio of 1:5 (w/v) was used or steam blanching at 100 °C in an autoclave at atmospheric pressure. For both blanching methods, processing times of 2, 4 and 6 min were tested. Immediately after blanching, samples were cooled in a 1% CaCl₂ solution at room temperature for 5 min, with a sample: solution ratio of 1:4 w/v. Water content, PPO and POD activities, FOS and RS were analysed.

Study of blanching using hot water plus additives

Three independent samples of 250 ± 25 g of yacon slices with skin of 4.5 ± 0.5 cm diameter and 5 mm thickness were submitted to boiling blanching water in a sample: water ratio of 1:5 w/v for 4 min. Different food additives, concentrations and combinations in the blanching solution were tested: 500 ppm ascorbic acid (AA), 500 ppm citric acid (CA), 500 ppm lactic acid (LA), 250 ppm AA+ 250 ppm CA, 250 ppm AA + 250 ppm LA, 4% NaCl, 0.5% CaCl₂, 500 ppm AA + 4% NaCl, 500 ppm AA + 0.5% CaCl₂. Additionally, blanching assays with different concentrations of AA (500, 250, 100 and 50 ppm) in combination with 0.2 or 0.5% calcium chloride were tested. Additionally, a treatment without blanching and a blanching treatment with water without additives were carried out. Immediately after each treatment, the slices were cooled down in a 1% calcium chloride solution at room temperature for 5 min, drained and dried in a cabin dryer at 65 °C with a perpendicular flow rate of 1.8 m s⁻¹. Samples were dried until they reached 5% water content. Samples were subsequently grinded in a mill (A11; Basic IKA, Germany) and sieved to obtain a particle size ≤ 250 µm. Analysis carried out in this section included: pH of the blanching solution, RS and FOS retention, colour and pH of the flour.

Drying process evaluation

After optimisation of the blanching process described above, different drying temperatures were tested that corresponded to 50, 65 and 80 °C, respectively, under the same conditions described above. For each corresponding drying temperature, a not blanched control sample was obtained. All treatments were carried out in triplicate. Analysis carried out included water content, RS, FOS and colour.

Analytical determinations

Water content was determined in a vacuum oven until constant weight was obtained according to the 925.45-A method (AOAC, 1995). The pH of the blanching solutions and obtained flours was carried out

according to the 981.12 method (AOAC, 1995) using a Thermo Orion (model 410, USA) potentiometer.

Peroxidase (POD) and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity

Peroxidase activity was determined according to Pedreschi *et al.* (2011). Briefly, 10 g of yacon roots was mixed with 40 mL of 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and 0.4 g of polyvinylpyrrolidone and homogenised in an Ultra-Turrax T25 (IKA works, Inc., Wilmington, NC, USA) at 10 000 rpm for 2.5 min. The extract was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. Then, 33 µL of supernatant, 850 µL of phosphate buffer, 33 µL of 0.25 M hydrogen peroxide and 83 µL of 0.5 M guaiacol were placed in a 1 mL glass spectrophotometer cell, and the absorbance was recorded every min during 6 min at 470 nm.

~~Polyphenol oxidase (PPO)~~ activity was determined according to Ndiaye *et al.* (2009) with slight modifications. Briefly, 10 g of yacon roots or 2 g of yacon flour sample was mixed with 30 mL of 0.05 M McIlvaine buffer (pH 6.5) and homogenised in an Ultra-turrax T25 (IKA works, Inc.) at 10 000 rpm for 2.5 min. The extract was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. Then, 143 µL of supernatant, 570 µL of McIlvaine buffer and 283 µL of catechol were placed in a 1 mL spectrophotometer cell, and the absorbance was recorded every min during 6 min at 420 nm. Both reactions were performed in a UV-vis spectrophotometer microplate Eon (BioTek Instruments, Inc., USA) with double orbital agitation at 30 °C. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as causing a change in absorbance of 1 min g⁻¹ enzyme extract. The residual enzyme activity was calculated in the linear portion of the curve, ΔAbs vs. time (min), as follows: Residual activity (%) = (S/So) × 100, where S: ΔAbs/min of the sample after heat treatment and So: the ΔAbs/min of the sample without heat treatment.

Sugars and fructooligosaccharides

Two grams of yacon flour or 10 g of yacon roots cut into small pieces was homogenised in an Ultra-turrax homogeniser (IKA) with 50 mL of 70% ethanol (v/v) and immediately heated at 100 °C for 10 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min, and the supernatant was collected. The yacon residues were re-extracted four more times under the same conditions. The supernatants were combined and evaporated in a rotary evaporator at 50 °C. The residue was re-dissolved in 25 mL of deionised water, and the yacon aqueous extract was kept for further determination of sugars and FOS.

FOS and sugars were analysed according to the Jaime *et al.* (2001) and Pedreschi *et al.* (2003) protocols with slight modifications. An enzymatic hydrolysis

of the sample with inulinase from *Aspergillus niger* (Sigma-Aldrich) was carried out to liberate sugars. A 0.2 mL inulinase solution in acetate buffer 50 mM, pH 4.5 (1/10, v/v) was added to 1.8 mL of extract. The solution was mixed and incubated at 60 °C for 180 min, and the total glucose and fructose released was determined by HPLC-IR using a Waters 2695 Separation Module (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an auto-injector, a refraction index detector (IR 410) and the Empower software. A NH2P-40 3E (3.0 × 250 mm, 4 µm) column and a guard column NH2P-50G 3A of 3.0 × 10 mm, 5 µm (Shodex, Japan) were used. The mobile phase was composed of acetonitrile: water (72.5:27.5, v/v) at a flow rate of 0.35 mL min⁻¹. Samples were filtered through a 0.22 µm Millipore filter, type GV (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) prior to HPLC injection. Five µL of sample was injected and run for 45 min at 35 °C. Sugars were identified and quantified by comparing their retention times to known previously injected standards (glucose, fructose and sucrose). The initial amounts of glucose, fructose and sucrose in the samples were also determined by HPLC-IR, and the obtained amounts were subtracted from the released sugars by the enzymatic hydrolysis. The concentration of FOS was calculated according to Prosky & Hoebregs (1999) and Pedreschi *et al.* (2003). Results were expressed as g of FOS per 100 g of dried matter (DM). The identification of the FOS displaying different degree of polymerisation (DP) was carried out according to the methods of Campos *et al.* (2009) and Pedreschi *et al.* (2003). Retention of FOS of different DP: 1-kestose (GF2), nystose (GF3), fructofuranosyl-nystose (GF4) and 1-F-(1-β-D-fructofuranosyl)-2-nystose (GF5) in processed yacon was calculated as area percentage change respect to fresh yacon. Reducing sugars (RS) were determined with the DNS method (Miller, 1959) and were expressed as g fructose per 100 g DM.

Colour parameters

Colour in the different samples was determined with a Konica Minolta Chroma metre (CR-400; Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan), using CIE1976 L*a*b* colour space (CIELAB). The instrument was standardised with a white ceramic plate (L* = 97; a* = 0.14, b* = 1.64). The total colour change (ΔE), Hue (h*), Chroma (C) and browning index (BI) that represent the browning suffered during treatment (Palou *et al.*, 1999) were calculated according to the following equations:

$$\Delta E = ((L_0^* - L^*)^2 + (a_0^* - a^*)^2 + (b_0^* - b^*)^2)^{1/2}$$

$$h^* = \tan^{-1}(b^*/a^*)$$

$$C = \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)}$$

$$BI = [100(x - 0.31)]/0.172,$$

where $x = (a^* + 1.75L^*)/(5.645L^* + a^* - 3.012b^*)$

Statistical analysis

The results were reported as means ± standard deviation (SD) of three independent replicates. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the means, and Tukey’s test was used to assess statistical significant differences among treatments ($P < 0.05$). All statistical analyses were performed with Statgraphics® Centurion XV (StatPoint Technologies, Inc).

Results and discussion

Influence of blanching conditions on enzyme activity

Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and peroxidase (POD) are the main enzymes involved in the fast browning of

yacon roots during storage and/or processing (Lachman *et al.*, 2003). PPO and POD activity measured corresponded to 12.66 ± 0.7 and $161.9 \pm 7.6 \text{ U g}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$, respectively. However, Fante *et al.* (2013) reported values of PPO and POD for peeled yacon of 25.34 and $22.01 \text{ U g}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$, respectively. The difference could be attributed to the cultivar or composition of the sample (peel + flesh). Our results report a higher POD activity than PPO as also previously reported by Pereira *et al.* (2013). However, PPO is considered as the main enzyme involved in browning due to high content of polyphenols present in vegetables. Hydrogen peroxide, the substrate, for POD either is not present or it is present in little amounts in fruits and vegetables (Mayer, 2006), but the synergistic activity of PPO and POD is due to the generation of hydrogen peroxide during the oxidation of phenolic compounds in PPO-catalysed reactions (Tomás-Barberán & Espín, 2001).

Residual PPO and POD activity after the different blanching treatments tested is presented in Fig. 1. PPO was more stable than POD in both treatments, but this difference was less pronounced when steam blanching




Figure 1 Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and peroxidase (POD) residual activity in yacon samples of different thicknesses, (a) blanched in boiling water and (b) steam blanched, for different times.

was employed. Fante *et al.* (2013) reported values of 16.24 and 15.38% residual activities of PPO and POD, respectively, after steam blanching of yacon slices. Previous studies reported that POD is more stable than PPO (Arthey & Dennis, 1992), being observed a contrary behaviour in yacon. Ndiaye *et al.* (2009) found for blanched mango slices that PPO was more stable than POD. The thermal stability of yacon PPO partially purified was relatively stable at temperatures of 60 and 70 °C displaying progressive inactivation at incubation temperatures of 80 and 90 °C; this behaviour was attributed to the presence of sucrose, maltose, glucose, fructose and trehalose at high concentrations, as protectants of yacon PPO against thermal inactivation at 75 and 80 °C (Neves & da Silva, 2007).

The blanching treatments either in boiling water or steaming for 6 min of slices of 5 mm thickness allowed to eliminate more than 95% of the PPO and POD activities. However, some hours after treatment, a gradual browning of the yacon slices was observed

from the external part of the skin towards the centre of the slices. This behaviour might be due not only to the higher content of polyphenols present in the skin but to the higher content of oxidation catalysing agents (Fe and Cu) present in the skin (Pereira *et al.*, 2013). Thus, next blanching experiments included the use of additives (chelating, acids and or salts).

Influence of the use of chelating agents in the blanching solution

RS and FOS retention in the blanched and dried at 65 °C samples is presented in Table 1. RS retention varied within 70.1 ± 1.8 and 87.4 ± 10.4% with no statistical significant differences ($P < 0.05%$) among the different treatments. The values found are consistent with those reported in the literature. Bomben *et al.* (1975) and Bomben & Hudson (1977) reported solute losses within 9–40% of the initial content. Mukherjee & Chattopadhyay (2007) reported considerably higher solid losses for hot water blanching due to

Table 1 Influence of the blanching solution composition on the retention of RS and FOS, pH of the solution and flour obtained at 65 °C

Treatment	Retention of RS (%)	Retention of FOS (%)	pH	
			Solution	Flour
H ₂ O	92.0 ± 10.6a	105.4 ± 10.9a	6.8 ± 0.1	6.3 ± 0.1
AA, 500 ppm	72.4 ± 8.0a	68.2 ± 6.5c	3.4 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.0
CA, 500 ppm	75.7 ± 3.3a	71.4 ± 8.3c	3.2 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.2
LA, 500 ppm	70.1 ± 1.8ab	71.9 ± 16.2c	3.1 ± 0.1	4.5 ± 0.1
AA, 250 ppm + CA, 250 ppm	72.2 ± 2.8a	77.5 ± 13.5bc	3.2 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.3
AA, 250 ppm + LA, 250 ppm	69.5 ± 7.8a	87.4 ± 0.7abc	3.4 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.2
NaCl, 4%	71.1 ± 3.8a	99.4 ± 1.6ab	5.8 ± 0.4	6.1 ± 0.1
CaCl ₂ , 0.5%	73.0 ± 4.1a	109.7 ± 0.6a	5.2 ± 0.3	5.9 ± 0.1
AA, 500 ppm + NaCl, 4%	72.8 ± 5.9a	101.5 ± 4.1a	3.8 ± 0.0	5.5 ± 0.1
AA, 500 ppm + CaCl ₂ , 0.5%	87.4 ± 10.4a	96.3 ± 0.7ab	3.6 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.0

RS, Reducing sugars; AA, ascorbic acid; CA, citric acid; LA, lactic acid. Values in columns labelled with the same letter are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$).




Figure 2 FOS retention of different degree of polymerisation in yacon samples: control (not blanched), blanched with 500 ppm ascorbic acid and blanched with 500 ppm/0.5% of ascorbic acid/CaCl₂ and dried at 65 °C.

diffusion and leaching, and reported reducing sugar retention values of 48.5 and 53.5% in potatoes blanched at 93 °C and 100 °C, respectively.

FOS retention varied within 68.2 ± 6.5 and 109.7 ± 0.7 , with higher losses in samples exposed to an acid media (500 ppm) but the blanched samples in water solutions containing 4% NaCl, 0.5% CaCl₂ or the combination of both salts with 500 ppm AA did not significantly affect FOS losses. The pH of the acid blanching solutions ranged within 3.1 and 3.4, respectively, and the obtained flours within the range of pH of 4.5 and 5.5. Extremely low values of FOS were found in the blanching solution (0.01–0.02 g/100 g of yacon); thus, the FOS decrease is mainly due to the hydrolysis provoked by the blanching treatment in boiling water under acid conditions. However, treatments that combined AA and NaCl or CaCl₂ did not present losses attributed to hydrolysis even though the pH values were similar to the ones of the acid media, indicating a protective effect of the salts. Salts can affect the texture of the tissues due to Ca or Mg induced cross-linking between carboxyl groups in pectin molecules present in cell wall and middle lamella. Calcium salts may be added to the blanching solution to form insoluble calcium pectate and thus increase the firmness of the product. Different salts might be needed depending on the type of tissue (e.g. calcium hydroxide for cherries, calcium chloride for tomatoes and calcium lactate for apples) and related to the differences in the proportion of demethylated pectin in each product (Ramesh, 2007).

Blanching under acid conditions (500 ppm AA) provoked significant losses ($P < 0.05$) of GF3, GF4 and GF5 but not GF2 (Fig. 2). The trend in FOS retention corresponded to GF2 > GF3 > GF4 = GF5, respectively; additionally, samples blanched in AA/CaCl₂ (500 ppm/0.5%) did not display changes in the FOS

Table 2 Influence of the ascorbic acid/calcium chloride concentration of the blanching solution on the retention of RS and FOS in the flour obtained at a drying temperature of 65 °C

Treatment	Retention of RS (%)	Retention of FOS (%)
AA, 500 ppm + CaCl ₂ 0.2%	62.7 ± 13.6c	110.6 ± 5.6a
AA, 250 ppm + CaCl ₂ 0.2%	63.6 ± 5.7c	103.2 ± 8.8a
AA, 100 ppm + CaCl ₂ 0.2%	63.7 ± 4.1c	108.3 ± 9.5a
AA, 50 ppm + CaCl ₂ 0.2%	67.3 ± 5.1bc	99.2 ± 4.9a
AA, 500 ppm + CaCl ₂ 0.5%	85.0 ± 5.4ab	96.3 ± 0.7a
AA, 250 ppm + CaCl ₂ 0.5%	86.0 ± 1.7ab	95.8 ± 7.5a
AA, 100 ppm + CaCl ₂ 0.5%	92.1 ± 9.8a	95.3 ± 7.1a
AA, 50 ppm + CaCl ₂ 0.5%	89.0 ± 7.8a	92.5 ± 6.8a

RS, Reducing sugars; AA, ascorbic acid.

Values in columns labelled with the same letter are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$).

profile (chromatograms are presented in Fig. S1). The FOS profile for the samples blanched in solutions containing CA, LA, AA + CA, AA + LA was similar to the FOS profile with AA, while the FOS profile for the treatments that included NaCl, CaCl₂, AA + NaCl was similar to the treatments that included AA/CaCl₂ (data not shown). FOS with a DP > 6 (GF6, GF7 or higher) was not determined because they are present in very low concentrations. The degradation path of oligomers consists of two ways: oligomers are degraded and formed at the same time or the amount of monomer only increases (Matusek *et al.*, 2009).

RS and FOS retention of the blanching treatments that included combinations of AA/CaCl₂ are presented in Table 2. RS retention varied within 62.7 ± 13.6 and 67.3 ± 5.1 for CaCl₂ concentrations of 0.2%, while for CaCl₂ concentrations of 0.5%, the RS retention varied within 85.0 ± 5.4 and $92.1 \pm 9.8\%$. In these

Table 3 Colour of flours (dried at 65 °C) obtained from samples blanched in boiling water with different additives

Treatment	L*	a*	b*	ΔE	h*	C*	BI
Control*	58.4 ± 4.1b	1.9 ± 0.2bc	21.9 ± 3.2a	43.9 ± 2.6a	85.1 ± 1.1abc	22.0 ± 3.2a	47.5 ± 5.0a
H ₂ O	63.8 ± 2.0b	3.1 ± 0.0a	16.3 ± 0.7bc	35.9 ± 1.0b	79.3 ± 0.5d	16.8 ± 0.6b	31.8 ± 0.7b
AA, 500 ppm	74.2 ± 2.0a	1.8 ± 0.4bc	14.7 ± 0.8bcd	26.5 ± 1.6c	83.0 ± 1.8bcd	14.8 ± 0.8bc	23.2 ± 1.3cd
CA, 500 ppm	73.3 ± 3.3a	2.2 ± 0.5ab	12.6 ± 0.2 cd	26.3 ± 3.1c	80.1 ± 2.0d	12.8 ± 0.3c	20.4 ± 1.8d
LA, 500 ppm	73.1 ± 4.0a	1.9 ± 0.6bc	12.2 ± 0.7d	26.4 ± 3.9c	81.2 ± 2.7cd	12.4 ± 0.7c	19.7 ± 2.6d
AA, 250 ppm + CA, 250 ppm	73.2 ± 4.1a	2.1 ± 0.4bc	15.3 ± 1.3bcd	27.7 ± 3.6c	82.3 ± 1.6bcd	15.4 ± 1.3bc	24.8 ± 2.5bcd
AA, 250 ppm + LA, 250 ppm	76.0 ± 2.5a	1.9 ± 0.3bc	15.4 ± 2.0bcd	25.3 ± 2.6c	83.1 ± 0.5bcd	15.5 ± 2.0bc	23.8 ± 3.6cd
NaCl, 4%	72.6 ± 0.5a	1.2 ± 0.2bcd	15.2 ± 2.0bcd	28.1 ± 1.0c	85.5 ± 1.2abc	15.2 ± 2.0bc	23.9 ± 3.2cd
CaCl ₂ , 0.5%	79.2 ± 0.2a	0.5 ± 0.3d	14.9 ± 1.5bcd	22.3 ± 0.7c	88.0 ± 1.3a	15.0 ± 1.5bc	20.7 ± 2.1cd
AA, 500 ppm + NaCl, 4%	76.1 ± 1.5a	1.4 ± 0.3bcd	18.4 ± 0.8ab	26.9 ± 1.4c	85.6 ± 0.8abc	18.5 ± 0.8ab	28.2 ± 2.0bc
AA, 500 ppm + CaCl ₂ , 0.5%	78.6 ± 3.9a	1.1 ± 0.5 cd	15.9 ± 1.5bcd	23.4 ± 3.4c	85.9 ± 2.1ab	16.0 ± 1.5bc	23.0 ± 3.0cd

AA, ascorbic acid; CA, citric acid; LA, lactic acid.

Values in columns labelled with the same letter are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$).

*Not Blanched.

treatments, nonsignificant losses of FOS ($P \leq 0.05$) were found. FOS hydrolysis at high temperature in acid conditions has been extensively reported (Blecker *et al.*, 2002; Courtin *et al.*, 2009). Vega & Zuniga-Hansen (2015) reported that short chain FOS hydrolytic degradation due to the thermal treatment of acid food products. The final composition depends on the processing conditions, including pH, food matrix, the DP of the oligosaccharides, the severity of the heat treatment (temperature, time) and the heat treatment method (batch or continuous).

Colour parameters expressed in the CIE $L^*a^*b^*$ system are reported in Table 3. Lightness L^* values were within 58.4 ± 4.1 and 78.6 ± 3.9 , with nonsignificant differences ($P > 0.05$) observed between the samples blanched with acid solutions and/or saline but significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than the nonblanched or blanched only with water samples. Additionally, acid and/or saline solutions had a positive effect on the ΔE value (43.9 ± 2.6 and 22.3 ± 0.7) being significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) than in the blanched in water sample and the nonblanched sample. The colour total difference (ΔE) in CIE $L^*a^*b^*$ represents the total colour

Table 4 Influence of the drying temperature on the retention of RS and FOS of samples blanched with ascorbic acid/CaCl₂ at a concentration ratio of 100 ppm/0.5%) and a control sample

Treatment	Water content (%)	RS (g/100 g DM)	FOS (g/100 g DM)
50 °C			
NB	8.2	35.3 ± 1.8a	40.6 ± 1.0a
B	6.5	35.0 ± 7.5a	42.0 ± 7.3a
65 °C			
NB	3.9	37.7 ± 1.4a	37.2 ± 0.3a
B	3.4	37.4 ± 4.6a	40.3 ± 5.0a
80 °C			
NB	3.6	37.5 ± 4.4a	40.9 ± 1.0a
B	2.0	34.5 ± 2.4a	38.1 ± 3.0a

NB, Not blanched; B, Blanched; RS, Reducing sugars.

Values in columns labelled with the same letter are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$).

Table 5 Colour parameters for the flours obtained from the different treatments: blanched, not blanched and dried at different temperatures

	L^*	a^*	b^*	ΔE	h^*	C^*	BI
50 °C							
NB	52.49 ± 5.17d	3.97 ± 0.20a	18.36 ± 0.88c	47.95 ± 4.54a	77.76 ± 1.07a	21.81 ± 0.83a	47.51 ± 3.57a
B	62.53 ± 3.10c	1.05 ± 0.10c	21.74 ± 0.88a	40.06 ± 2.28b	87.24 ± 0.29c	21.77 ± 0.88a	42.40 ± 0.99b
65 °C							
NB	70.17 ± 3.76b	2.10 ± 0.27b	21.09 ± 0.27ab	33.30 ± 3.22c	84.31 ± 0.66b	21.19 ± 0.29ab	36.89 ± 3.12c
B	72.67 ± 2.24ab	1.07 ± 0.28c	19.89 ± 1.09b	30.53 ± 1.30cd	86.89 ± 0.90c	19.92 ± 1.08bc	31.96 ± 1.07d
80 °C							
NB	73.34 ± 1.00ab	1.46 ± 0.21c	17.00 ± 0.46c	28.32 ± 1.02d	87.11 ± 0.62b	17.06 ± 0.47d	26.97 ± 1.29e
B	75.90 ± 1.14a	-0.54 ± 0.44d	17.34 ± 0.99c	26.35 ± 1.44d	91.84 ± 1.56d	17.36 ± 0.98d	24.54 ± 2.42e

NB, Not Blanched; B, Blanched.

Values in columns labelled with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

difference when a sample is subjected to a treatment compared to the untreated sample. The total colour difference (ΔE) has been used in the determination of the colour change in various foods during processing and storage (Choi & Nielsen, 2005).

The change in the values of the h^* angle did not display a defined trend, while the C^* values were lower in the blanched samples compared to the control. Values of h^* close to 90° are indicative of a yellowish colour plus the low C^* values are indicative of a matt yellow colour, being characteristic of yacon colour. The value of the browning index (BI) represents the browning suffered during treatment, and it is reported as an important parameter in processes that involve enzymatic and nonenzymatic browning reactions (Palou *et al.*, 1999). The addition of acid and/or salts had a significantly positive effect ($P < 0.05$) on the BI with values within 19.7 ± 2.6 and 28.2 ± 2.0 with respect to the control (BI = 47.5 ± 5.0) and the sample blanched only with water (BI = 31.8 ± 0.7) (Table 3). Samples blanched with different concentrations of AA/CaCl₂ are indicative of the CaCl₂ role on the inhibition of yacon browning (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 1). In fact, it is well known that calcium chloride works as a chelating agent or metal scavenger because it combines with metals such as iron and copper which can catalyse oxidation and also cause discolouration. Gómez *et al.* (2010) found that the application of an antibrowning pretreatment containing ascorbic acid or calcium chloride helped in maintaining the original colour of apple after UV-C light exposure.

Influence of the drying temperature

Yacon slices blanched in a boiling water solution containing 100 ppm AA and 0.5% CaCl₂ were dried at temperatures of 50, 65 and 80 °C, respectively. In parallel, the drying process of the nonblanched samples was followed. The drying time and final water content corresponded 10.5, 9.5 and 7 h and 6.5 (8.2), 3.6 (3.9) and 2 (3.6) g/100 g of DM for the corresponding

drying temperatures of 50, 65 and 80 °C for the blanched and nonblanched samples, respectively (Table 4). The increase in drying air temperature increased the rate of heat transfer and consequently decreased the total drying time (Kaya *et al.*, 2008). Blanching helps to reduce the water content for the same drying time, and slices with higher texture are obtained which facilitate posterior unit operations (Padilha *et al.*, 2009).

The drying temperature did not significantly influence ($P < 0.05$) the RS (34.5 ± 2.4 – 37.7 ± 1.4 g/100 DM) and FOS content (37.2 ± 0.3 – 42 ± 7.3 g/100 DM) of the obtained flours (Table 4). Our results demonstrate that temperatures as high as 80 °C did not affect the FOS content and profile because the pH of the yacon slices was within the 5.8–6.0 range, no hydrolysis occurred. Our results are in agreement with the results reported by Figueira *et al.* (2004) who reported nonsignificant differences in the content of inulin in chicory roots (*Cichorium intybus* L.) dried at 60, 70 and 80 °C, respectively. However, Scher *et al.* (2009) studied the drying process of yacon slices previously peeled, steam blanched and dried at 70 °C and reported an increase in the RS content due to FOS hydrolysis; the difference with our results could be attributed to the method used to analyse FOS and to the raw material used. Scher *et al.* reported very low values of inulin (4.06–6.94 g/100 g DM). Colour analysis of the blanched and nonblanched samples submitted to different drying temperatures is presented in Table 5. Increasing the temperature from 50 to 80 °C had a positive effect on the L^* value (from 52.49 ± 5.17 up to 75.90 ± 1.4), a decrease in the a^* value (from 3.97 ± 0.20 to -0.54 ± 0.44 indicative of absence of browning and a trend towards a yellow colour). The ΔE decreased from 47.95 ± 4.54 to 26.35 ± 1.44 ; while h^* increased (from 77.76 ± 1.07 up to 91.84 ± 1.56) and C^* decreased (from 21.81 ± 0.83 up to 17.36 ± 0.98), being these changes indicative of a trend towards the yellowish colour of the raw material. A significant decrease ($P < 0.05$) was also observed in the BI (from 47.51 ± 3.57 up to 24.54 ± 2.42). High drying temperatures significantly influence the colour of the yacon flour, being more important the previous blanching treatment than the drying temperature itself. A high drying temperature would rapidly decrease water availability and thus minimising enzymatic and nonenzymatic reactions.

Conclusions

Blanching of 5 mm yacon slices in acid solutions (AA, CA and LA) resulted in significant losses of FOS due to hydrolysis of GF3, GF4 and GF5 but GF2 remained constant. However, mixtures of AA/CaCl₂ even in acid conditions resulted in retention of FOS demonstrating

the protective effect of salts against FOS hydrolysis. The drying temperature in the samples blanched with AA/CaCl₂ and without blanching did not affect the FOS and reducing sugar content. A high drying temperature of 80 °C allowed to obtain a yacon flour with excellent colour characteristics even without prior blanching; however, it might be subjected to the variety of the raw material. This study allowed to determine the processing conditions to obtain a FOS-rich yacon flour that can be further employed for the elaboration of prebiotic functional foods.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Sheila Juárez and Víctor Zárate for their collaboration with the different experiments. This work was supported by Programa Nacional de Innovación para la Competitividad y Productividad (Innovate Perú) (Contrato N° 142-FINCYT-IA-2013), Peru. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) (1995). *Official Methods of Analysis*, 16th edn, 1298 p. Washington, DC, USA: AOAC.
- Arthey, A. & Dennis, C. (1992). *Procesado de hortalizas*. España. Ed. Acribia (Tex in spanish). 317 p.
- Asami, T., Minamisawa, K., Tsuchiya, T. *et al.* (1991). Fluctuations of oligofructan contents in tubers of yacon (*Polymnia sonchifolia*) during growth and storage. *Japanese Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, **62**, 621–627.
- Blecker, C., Fougnes, C., Van Herck, J.-C., Chevalier, J.-P. & Paquot, M. (2002). Kinetic study of the acid hydrolysis of various oligofructose samples. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **50**, 1602–1607.
- Bomben, J.L. & Hudson, J.S. (1977). Cooked weight and solid loss of air cooled and water cooled frozen vegetables. *Journal of Food Science*, **42**, 1128–1129.
- Bomben, J.L., Dictrich, W.C., Hudson, J.S., Hamilton, H.K. & Farkas, D.F. (1975). Yields and solid loss in steam blanching cooling and freezing vegetables. *Journal of Food Science*, **40**, 660–664.
- Campos, D., Betalleluz, I., Tauquino, R., Chirinos, R. & Pedreschi, R. (2009). Nutritional and functional characterisation of Andean chicuru (*Stangea rhizanta*). *Food Chemistry*, **112**, 63–70.
- Campos, D., Betalleluz-Pallardel, I., Chirinos, R., Aguilar-Galvez, A., Noratto, G. & Pedreschi, R. (2012). Prebiotic effects of yacon (*Smalanthus sonchifolius* Poep. & Endl), a source of fructooligosaccharides and phenolic compounds with antioxidant activity. *Food Chemistry*, **135**, 1592–1599.
- Choi, L.H. & Nielsen, S.S. (2005). The effects of thermal and non-thermal processing methods on apple cider quality and consumer acceptability. *Journal of Food Quality*, **28**, 13–29.
- Courtin, C., Swennen, K., Verjans, P. & Delcour, J.A. (2009). Heat and pH stability of prebiotic arabinoxylooligosaccharides, xylooligosaccharides and fructooligosaccharides. *Food Chemistry*, **112**, 831–837.
- De Moura, N.A., Caetano, B.F.R., Sivieri, K. *et al.* (2012). Protective effects of yacon (*Smalanthus sonchifolius*) intake on experimental colon carcinogenesis. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, **50**, 2902–2910.
- Fante, L., Scher, C.F., Noreña, C.P.Z. & Rios, A.O. (2013). Study of enzyme inactivation using steam in yacon (*Smalanthus sonchifolius*) roots. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, **37**, 16–24.

- Figueira, G.M., Park, K.J., Brod, F.P.R. & Honório, S.L. (2004). Evaluation of desorption isotherms, drying rates and inulin concentration of chicory roots (*Cichorium intybus* L.) with and without enzymatic inactivation. *Journal of Food Engineering*, **63**, 273–280.
- Fukai, K., Ohno, S., Goto, K., Nanjo, F. & Hara, Y. (1997). Seasonal fluctuations in fructan content and related enzyme activities in yacon (*Polymnia sonchifolia*). *Japanese Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, **43**, 171–177.
- Gómez, P., Alzamora, S., Castro, M. & Salvatori, D. (2010). Effect of ultraviolet-C light dose on quality of cut-apple: microorganism, color and compression behaviour. *Journal of Food Engineering*, **98**, 60–70.
- Goto, K., Fukai, K., Hikida, J., Nanjo, F. & Hara, Y. (1995). Isolation and structural analysis of oligosaccharides from yacon (*Polymnia sonchifolia*). *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, **59**, 2346–2347.
- Graefe, S., Hermann, M., Manrique, I., Golombek, S. & Buerkert, A. (2004). Effects of post-harvest treatments on the carbohydrate composition of yacon roots in the Peruvian Andes. *Field Crops Research*, **86**, 157–165.
- Habib, N.C., Honoré, S.M., Genta, S.B. & Sánchez, S.S. (2011). Hypolipidemic effect of *Smallanthus sonchifolius* (yacon) roots on diabetic rats: biochemical approach. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, **194**, 31–39.
- Huebner, J., Wehling, R.L., Parkhurst, A. & Hutkins, R.W. (2008). Effect of processing conditions on the prebiotic activity of commercial prebiotics. *International Dairy Journal*, **18**, 287–293.
- Jaime, L., Martín-Cabrejas, M., Mollá, E., López-Andréu, F. & Esteban, R. (2001). Effect of storage on fructan and fructooligosaccharide of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, **49**, 982–988.
- Kaya, A., Aydın, O. & Dincer, I. (2008). Experimental and numerical investigation of heat and mass transfer during drying of Hayward kiwi fruits (*Actinidia deliciosa* Planch). *Journal of Food Engineering*, **88**, 323–330.
- Lachman, J., Fernández, E.C. & Orsák, M. (2003). Yacon [*Smallanthus sonchifolia* (Poepp. et Endl.) H. Robinson] chemical composition and use – a review. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, **49**, 283–290.
- Lachman, J., Havrand, B., Fernandez, E.C. & Dudjak, J. (2004). Saccharides of yacon [*Smallanthus sonchifolius* (Poepp. et Endl.) H. Robinson] tubers and rhizomes and factors affecting their content. *Plant Soil and Environment*, **50**, 383–390.
- L'Homme, C., Arbelot, M., Puigserver, A. & Biagini, A. (2003a). Kinetics of hydrolysis of fructooligosaccharides in mineral-buffered aqueous solutions: influence of pH and temperature. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **51**, 224–228.
- L'Homme, C., Puigserver, A. & Biagini, A. (2003b). Effect of food-processing on the degradation of fructooligosaccharides in fruit. *Food Chemistry*, **82**, 533–537.
- Lobo, A.R., Colli, C., Alvares, E.P. & Filisetti, T.M. (2007). Effects of fructans-containing yacon (*Smallanthus sonchifolius* Poepp and Endl.) flour on caecum mucosal morphometry, calcium and magnesium balance, and bone calcium retention in growing rats. *British Journal of Nutrition*, **97**, 776–785.
- Matusek, A., Merész, P., Le, T.K.D. & Örsi, F. (2009). Effect of temperature and pH on the degradation of fructooligosaccharides. *European Food Research and Technology*, **228**, 355–365.
- Matusek, A., Merész, P., Le, T.K.D. & Örsi, F. (2011). Fructooligosaccharide degradation in apple pulp matrix. *Acta Alimentaria*, **40**, 182–193.
- Mayer, A. (2006). Polyphenol oxidases in plants and fungi: going places? A review. *Phytochemistry*, **67**, 2318–2331.
- Miller, G. (1959). Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing Sugar. *Analysis Chemistry*, **31**, 426–428.
- Mukherjee, S. & Chattopadhyay, P.K. (2007). Whirling bed blanching of potato cubes and its effects on product quality. *Journal of Food Engineering*, **78**, 52–60.
- Ndiaye, C., Xu, S.-Y. & Wang, Z. (2009). Steam blanching effect on polyphenoloxidase, peroxidase and colour of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) slices. *Food Chemistry*, **113**, 92–95.
- Neves, V.A. & da Silva, M.A. (2007). Polyphenol oxidase from yacon roots (*Smallanthus sonchifolius*). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **55**, 2424–2430.
- Padilha, V.M., Rolim, P.M., Salgado, S.M., Livera, A.V.S. & Oliveira, M.G. (2009). Tempo de secagem e da atividade de óxido-reductases de yacon (*Smallanthus sonchifolius*) sob tratamento químico. *Ciência Rural*, **39**, 2178–2184.
- Palou, E., López-Malo, A., Barbosa-Cánovas, G.V., Welti-Chanes, J. & Swanson, B.G. (1999). Polyphenoloxidase activity and color of blanched and high hydrostatic pressure treated banana puree. *Journal of Food Science*, **64**, 42–45.
- Pedreschi, R., Campos, D., Noratto, G., Chirinos, R. & Cisneros-Zevallos, L. (2003). Andean yacon root (*Smallanthus sonchifolius* Poepp. Endl) fructooligosaccharides as a potential novel source of prebiotics. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **51**, 5278–5284.
- Pedreschi, R., Betalleluz-Pallardel, I., Chirinos, R., Curotto, C. & Campos, D. (2011). Impact of cooking and drying on the phenolic, carotenoid contents and *in vitro* antioxidant capacity of Andean arracacha (*Arracacia xanthorrhiza* Bancr.) root. *Food Science and Technology*, **17**, 319–330.
- Pereira, J., Barcelos, M., Pereira, M. & Ferreira, E. (2013). Studies of chemical and enzymatic characteristics of Yacon (*Smallanthus sonchifolius*) and its flours. *Food Science and Technology*, **33**, 75–83.
- Prosky, K. & Hoebregs, H. (1999). Methods to determine food inulin and oligofructose. *Journal of Nutrition*, **129**, 1441S–1423S.
- Ramesh, M.N. (2007). Canning and Sterilization of Foods. In: *Handbook of Food Preservation*, 2nd edn (edited by M. Shafiqur Rahman). Pp. 222–227. Boca Raton, London, New York: CRC Press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group.
- Reis, F.R., Lenzi, M.K., Bolzón de Muniz, G.I., Nisgoski, S. & Masson, M.L. (2012). Vacuum drying kinetics of Yacon (*Smallanthus sonchifolius*) and the effect of process conditions on fractal dimension and rehydration capacity. *Drying Technology*, **30**, 13–19.
- Rodrigues, R.L., Asquiere, O.R. & Orsi, E.C. (2014). Prevention of enzymatic browning of yacon flour by the combined use of anti-browning agents and the study of its chemical composition. *Food Science and Technology (Campinas)*, **34**, 275–280.
- Scher, C.F., Rios, A.O. & Noreña, C.P.Z. (2009). Hot air drying of yacon (*Smallanthus sonchifolius*) and its effect on sugar concentrations. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **44**, 2169–2175.
- Tomás-Barberán, F.A. & Espín, J.C. (2001). Phenolic compounds and related enzymes as determinants of quality in fruits and vegetables. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, **81**, 853–876.
- Vega, R. & Zuniga-Hansen, M.E. (2015). The effect of processing conditions on the stability of fructooligosaccharides in acidic food products. *Food Chemistry*, **173**, 784–789.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:


Table S1. Influence of the ascorbic acid/calcium chloride concentration in the blanching solution on the color of the flour obtained at 65 °C.

Figure S1. FOS and sugar profile of yacon flour obtained at 65 °C: (a) control – without blanching, (b) blanched with 500 ppm ascorbic acid and (c) blanched with 500 ppm/0.5 % ascorbic acid/CaCl₂.

Graphical Abstract

The contents of this page will be used as part of the graphical abstract of html only. It will not be published as part of main.

Yacon (*Smallanthus sonchifolius*)



Author Query Form

Journal: IJFS

Article: 13074

Dear Author,

During the copy-editing of your paper, the following queries arose. Please respond to these by marking up your proofs with the necessary changes/additions. Please write your answers on the query sheet if there is insufficient space on the page proofs. Please write clearly and follow the conventions shown on the attached corrections sheet. If returning the proof by fax do not write too close to the paper's edge. Please remember that illegible mark-ups may delay publication.

Many thanks for your assistance.

Query reference	Query	Remarks
A	AUTHOR: Please note that this proof exceeds the journal's free pages allocation (7pp) and will be subject to an excess page charge of £100 for each typeset page exceeding the first 7 pages. Please pay excess page charges when the article is published in an issue of the journal.	
1	AUTHOR: Please check and approve the edits made in the article title.	
2	AUTHOR: Please check and approve the edits made in the running title.	
3	AUTHOR: Please confirm that given names (red) and surnames/family names (green) have been identified correctly.	
4	AUTHOR: Please check that authors and their affiliations are correct.	
5	AUTHOR: Please provide graphical abstract for this article.	
6	AUTHOR: Please check and approve the edits made in the keywords.	
7	AUTHOR: Please give address information for Merck: town.	
8	AUTHOR: Please give address information for Himedia: town.	
9	AUTHOR: Please give address information for Calbiochem: town.	
10	AUTHOR: Please give address information for J.T. Baker: town, state.	
11	AUTHOR: Please give address information for Basic IKA: town.	
12	AUTHOR: 10 000 rpm: please replace this with the correct g value.	
13	AUTHOR: 6000 rpm: please replace this with the correct g value.	
14	AUTHOR: Please give address information for BioTek Instruments, Inc: town, state.	
15	AUTHOR: Please give address information for StatPoint Technologies, Inc: town, state (if applicable), and country.	
16	AUTHOR: Please provide the page range for reference Ramesh (2007).	
17	AUTHOR: Please provide significance of lowercase letters in Figures 1 and 2.	